

We present in the next example an analysis based on the use of our hypothesis testing summary sheet and an alternative analysis based upon a SAS printout.

Consider the following two-sample problem. Recovery times for two surgical procedures, 1 and 2 are investigated. The data are the observed recovery times and may be considered as independent random samples from two normal populations.

1 8.161
 1 7.062
 1 8.075
 1 7.495
 1 7.321
 1 6.877
 1 7.857
 1 8.191
 1 8.644
 1 7.917
 1 9.253
 1 6.785
 2 10.662
 2 10.537
 2 9.7035
 2 10.029
 2 9.0677
 2 9.0919
 2 9.6989
 2 10.358
 2 8.2318

(Treatment 1 is also labeled x below and treatment 2 is labeled y.)

Is there significant evidence at $\alpha = 0.05$ that the mean times to recovery for the two procedures differ?

I. - Traditional solution.

(1) Hypothesis of interest : $H_0 : \mu_1 = \mu_2$ vs. $H_A : \mu_1 \neq \mu_2$

Since the sample sizes are small and the validity of the t-test which is appropriate for testing our hypothesis depends on equality of the variances of the two populations, we will need to execute a preliminary test of

(1) Hypothesis' : $H'_0 : \sigma_1 = \sigma_2$ vs. $H'_A : \sigma_1 \neq \sigma_2$

and we shall make this test at level $\alpha = 0.10$.

(2) Decision rule: Reject H_0 if $\bar{X} - \bar{Y} < F_{n(1)-1, n(2)-1, 1-\alpha/2}$ or if $\bar{X} - \bar{Y} > F_{n(1)-1, n(2)-1, \alpha/2}$,

where $s_p^2 = \frac{s_1^2 + s_2^2}{2}$.

(3) Decision: Since $t = 0.848$, $F_{11,8,0.05} \sim 3.31$ and $F_{11,8,0.95} = 1/2.95 \sim .338$ do not reject H_0 and proceed as if the variances were equal.

(2) Decision Rule : Reject H_0 if $|\bar{X} - \bar{Y}| > t_{n(1)+n(2)-2, .025}$, where

$$s_p = \frac{s_1^2 + s_2^2}{2}$$

$$\text{and } s_p^2 = \frac{(n-1)s_X^2 + (m-1)s_Y^2}{m+n-2}$$

(3) Decision : Since $t_{19, .025} = 2.093$ and $|\bar{X} - \bar{Y}| = 5.7 > 2.093$, reject H_0 and conclude that the data does present significant evidence that the mean recovery times for the two procedures differ.

II - Solution using a SAS printout.

The following output of the SAS procedure PROC TTEST can be used to test the above. The output is :

```

Two Sample example                                1
                                                    12:34 Monday, August 25, 1997

TTEST PROCEDURE

Variable: RTM

-----
T          N          Mean          Std Dev          Std Error          Minimum          Maximum
-----
1          12          7.80316667       0.73536965       0.21228293        6.78500000       9.25300000
2          9          9.70886667       0.79778788       0.26592929        8.23180000       10.66200000

Variances          T          DF          Prob>|T|
-----
Unequal          -5.6006          16.6          0.0001
Equal           -5.6695          19.0          0.0000

```

For H_0 : Variances are equal, $F' = 1.18$ $DF = (8,11)$ $Prob>F' = 0.7815$

and the program that yields this output is

```
options ls=79;
options pagesize=55;
TITLE'Two Sample example';
filename rec 'twosam';
DATA rt;
infile rec;
INPUT t rtm;
PROC TTEST;
CLASS t;
VAR rtm;
```

We begin, as usual, with a statement of the hypothesis and alternative to be tested. The steps that follow are a bit different.

(1) Hypothesis of interest : $H_0 : \mu_1 = \mu_2$ vs. $H_A : \mu_1 \neq \mu_2$.

Since the sample sizes are small and the validity of the t-test appropriate for testing our hypothesis depends on equality of the variances of the two populations, we will need to execute a preliminary test of

(1) Hypothesis' : $H'_0 : \sigma_1 = \sigma_2$ vs. $H'_A : \sigma_1 \neq \sigma_2$

and will make this test at level $\alpha' = 0.10$.

(2) Decision rule: Reject H'_0 if the p-value for the variance test is less than 0.10.

(3) Decision: Since the p-value for the variance test is .7815, do not reject H'_0 and proceed as if the variances were equal.

(2) Decision rule : After failing to reject the hypothesis of equality of variances, reject H_0 if the p-value of the t statistic for this data under two-sided hypothesis is less than 0.05.

(3) The appropriate p-value is given on the SAS printout for this case and is less than 0.0000 (it is zero to four places), which is less than 0.05, so reject H_0 and conclude that the data does present significant evidence that the mean recovery times for the two procedures differ.

By the way, I generated this data; the x's are $N(8,1)$ and y's are $N(9.5,1)$.